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Sungrow Huainan Solar Farm on the site of a former coal mine in China. Photo by Adam Dean
What is a just transition?

- JT is context-dependent: it is a shared, negotiated vision and process supported by a set of guiding principles
- JT mentioned as “imperatives” in the Paris Agreement
- ILO’s *Guidelines for a just transition towards environmentally sustainable economies and societies for all* focus on workers in all sectors
- International Trade Unions Confederation (ITUC) and other organisations stress the importance of social dialogue in the JT process
- Different groups interpret JT differently
“Be prepared” is a key idea of a just transition

ILO Guidelines view a just transition as “a bridge from where we are today to a future where all jobs are green and decent, poverty is eradicated, and communities are thriving and resilient” (ITUC Just Transition Center’s Report to the OECD, May 2017).

How broad and firm can be this bridge, depends on the country.
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Just transition isn’t a new idea: it was called differently in the past

The world has seen many difficult transitions leaving certain groups behind and creating the fear of future transitions being similarly painful. Historical examples of proactive mitigation of such negative impacts include:

• Efforts to convert war veterans and military workers to civil jobs
• Adjustment programmes in the agricultural sector
• Complementary policies to mitigate possible negative social impacts of structural reforms such as fossil fuel subsidy reform

Internationally, the labour and environmental movements have been the first to advocate the need for a just transition in the context of climate change policy. JT has a unique history in each country.
# Fossil fuel phase-out narratives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moral framework = normative: we should stay within the carbon budget to avoid climate change beyond 1.5 or 2°C</th>
<th>Risk framework = fossil fuels are increasingly risky investments, yet jobs and economies depend on them</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mostly used in the climate community</td>
<td>Mostly used in the investor community and among mainstream policy-makers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deliberately neutral: “supply-side mitigation,” “fossil fuel phase-out,” “managed decline” as a better alternative to “unmanaged decline”</td>
<td>Deliberately neutral: “energy transition,” “responsible investment”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trying to be positive:</strong> “Fossil-Free,” “Beyond Coal,” “Powering Past Coal”</td>
<td><strong>Trying to be positive:</strong> “just transition,” “no one left behind,” “economic diversification”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Authors’ summary.
Learning by doing and learning from other countries
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