Options for a Voluntary Peer Review on Fossil-Fuel Subsidies





International Institut Institute for internat Sustainable dévelop Development durable

Institut international du développement durable

The Global Subsidies Initiative

Established by IISD in 2005 to:

Investigate and support the reform of subsidies that undermine sustainable development

Programme on Fossil-Fuel Subsidy Reform (2009-2015):

- 1. Research to identify, quantify and assess fossil-fuel subsidies
- 2. Support national subsidy reform efforts
- 3. Support international forums to address subsidy reform





Purpose of peer reviews

"Open method of coordination" -- EU

"non-adversarial... relies heavily on mutual trust" -- Independent expert

"peer review is a discussion among equals" -- OECD

"Peer review is not a compliance mechanism" -- UNCSD "supports coordinated unilateralism"

-- Independent expert



Institut international du développement durable



Benefits of peer reviews

- 1. Increased transparency and accountability
- 2. Facilitates **policy dialogue** to share experience and policy tools
- 3. Opportunity to seek expert advice
- 4. Highlights successes and good practice





Examples of peer reviews





Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation





Global Subsidies Initiative





iis

International Energy Agency

International

nstitute for

Sustainable

Development durable

Institut

international du

développement



When comparing models, consider...

• Mission and legal status

The more power and accountability the organisation has, the more cautious members are

- Size and composition of membership
 The smaller and similar the membership, the more effective it can be in building trust, learning, collegiality and autonomy
- Subject matter and any cross-border impacts





Peer reviews should develop dynamically

- Allow flexibility to develop and innovate
- Peer reviews tend to become more effective over time as:
 - The process becomes more institutionalised
 - Members recognise its value
 - Members build trust and
 - Accumulate technical expertise and experience





Options for a G20 peer review on FFSR: **Scope**

- Only fossil-fuel subsidies deemed to be inefficient
- All fossil-fuel subsidies including for consumption and production

and

• Fossil-fuel subsidy reform efforts, including recent developments and lessons learned





Options for a G20 peer review on FFSR: **Objectives**

- **Increase transparency** of subsidy policies and expenditures including their impacts
- Share experience and lessons:
 - Identifying, measuring and evaluating subsidies
 - Effective reform plans including compensation measures and communications strategies
- Track progress and acknowledge successes
- Contribute to national policy dialogue and create
 momentum for reform





Institut international du développement durable

Options for a G20 peer review on FFSR: **Process**

Peer review stage	Less formal, focus on learning	More formal, focus on accountability
Collection of information	Self-reporting onlyReporting standard can be adapted	 Self-reporting + independent Reporting template or guidelines
Evaluation phase	 No pre-determined structure 	 Members agree key issues for discussion
Assessment	 General observations, summary of concerns No endorsement 	Clear policy recsFormal endorsement
Follow-up	 None, review is kept confidential 	 Outcomes published Follow-up Q&A Progress reports
	al sidies	international Institute for Sustainable développed

Initiative

Sustainable

Development durable

développement

Options for a G20 peer review on FFSR: **Guidelines**

Global Subsidies Initiative

G20 Reporting	Possible Elaboration for Peer Review
Part 1. Inefficient FFS proposed for reform in the Member's implementation strategy	 Policy objectives & duration Responsible government agency Details of the subsidy policy Beneficiaries (intended and unintended) Annual estimates
Part 2. Implementation strategies and timeframes for reform	 Alternative policy options Estimates of cost savings Measures needed to mitigate impacts
Part 3. Current status of implementation strategies and timeframes for reform	 Technical and administrative capacity required Timelines for reform Communication strategies Lessons learned



International Institut Institute for international du Sustainable développement Development durable

Options for a G20 peer review on FFSR: **Review teams**

Host country should select review team (or joint selection)

- G20 members only
- G20 members + 3rd parties:
 - Other countries: APEC or "Friends" members
 - Experts: OECD, IEA, GSI or independent

Countries with similar circumstances (e.g. energy exporters) could review each other





Conclusions

- Peer reviews are useful for coordinating unilateral action on fossil-fuel subsidy reform
- Benefits include increased transparency, increased policy dialogue, reporting of successes
- Peer review mechanism should be flexible and dynamic over time
- Current opportunity to cooperate with other forums to develop complementary approaches





Thank you

Kerryn Lang GSI Project Manager, IISD <u>klang@iisd.org</u>

www.iisd.org/gsi





International Institut Institute for international du Sustainable développement Development durable